Dear Reader

On behalf of the authors of the paper CoMo: a scale and rotation invariant compact composite moment-based descriptor for image retrieval (https://doi.org/10.1007/s11042-018-5854-3), I am writing this letter to inform the readers about a correction concerning some minor details of the description of our experimental setup. It was only very recently that it was brought to our attention that the experiments on the **UKBench** dataset were actually performed with a different querying mode than the one implied in the paper.

More specifically, in Table 1 (Section 5, Experimental evaluation), the total number of queries for Ukbench was given as 2550, while it should be **250**. That is, instead of performing the retrieval task for all first images of the 2550 groups of four images that this dataset consists of, we evaluated the retrieval task using only the first images of the **first 250 images**. On that note, this type of querying was performed for the proposed method, as well as, for all the re-implemented methods in the paper. However, the results for some other approaches that are presented in Table 3, are directly drawn from the respective cited papers and, to the best of our knowledge, were obtained with the default querying mode (i.e. for all first 2550 images of the respective groups). This makes the direct comparison partially invalid. Thus, we would like to exclude the results of the methods that were not re-implemented and tested under the exact same conditions from the respective column in Table 3.

Best

Savvas Chatzichristofis